

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,526	12/05/2001	Markus Oechsle	P21469	4387
7(155	7590 08/08/2003			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAN RESTON, VA	D CLARKE PLACE 20191	HALPERN, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action    0x9365.526	•	Application No.   Applicant(s)						
Examiner   Mark Halpern   1731    -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	Advisory Action	09/936,526	OECHSLE ET AL.					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.138 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee; or (3) as extended for the filed fee; or (4) the malling date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire than SIX MONTHS for the malling date of the final rejection, only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS 0F THE FIRML REJECTION. See MPEP (5) and the properties of distermining the period of extension and the expression of the final rejection. When the first reply time appropriate extension for the malling date of the final rejection. The firm appeal of the final rejection appeal of the final rejection, see the first of the final rejection appeal of the final rejection, see for the fi	<b>,</b>	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.131 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY OFICK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLECTION. See MPEP 703.077).  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the short period for reply original period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY OFICK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLECTION. See MPEP 703.077).  The period for reply expires on: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office scion; or (2) as set forth in (1) above, it develoced. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely field, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(4b).  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a)		Mark Halpern	1731					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statuory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST LABELECTION. See The Prival REJECTION. See The Prival REJECTION See The Prival REJECTION See The Prival REJECTION See The Prival REJECTION See The Prival REJECTION. See The Prival REJECTION of the See The Prival Rejection. See The Prival Rejection S	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
a)  The period for reply expires	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The aj[ affidavit, b)[ arkhibit, or c][ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \$\$See Continuation Sheet.\$\$  7. For purpos	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5.☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6.☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
NOTE:  3.								
<ul> <li>3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> <li>Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> <li>8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.</li> <li>9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
<ul> <li>4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5.  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.</li> <li>9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	NOTE:							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: ☐ .  Claim(s) objected to: ☐ .  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ☐ .  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ☐ .	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 31-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31-87. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				ind an				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31-87. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31-87. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-87</u> .							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	10. Other: See Continuation Sheet							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 31-76, are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 100 of copending Application No. 09/936,516. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claim 31 discloses a method of operating a machine for manufacturing and/or refining a material web "using at least one measurement device that detects the data while moving along at least two degrees of freedom of movement,", and the copending Application 09/936,516, claim 100, discloses a method for determining characteristics of a running material web "moving the at least one measuring device along the at least two degrees of freedom of movement". This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 77-87, are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 33, 99 of copending Application No. 09/936,516. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims 77, 87, disclose a measurement system for use in operating a machine fo manufacturing and/or refining a material web having "measurement devices detecting the data while moving along at least two degrees o freedom of movement,", and the copending Application 09/936,516, claims 33, 99, disclose an apparatus for determining characteristics o a running material web having "at least one measuring device" that "moves along the at least two degrees of freedom of movement during data collection". This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USP 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed b the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Examiner notes that all of the dependent claims depending from independent claims 31, 77, are provisionally rejected..

Continuation of 10. Other: The information disclosure statement filed 7/24/2003, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered..

PETER CHIN
COMMARY EXAMINER